TECHNICAL APPENDIX FORM (TA5031) FOR PRESSURE VESSELS PRESSURE VESSEL ENGINEERING NOTE PER CHAPTER 5031

Prepared by: Mark Adamowski Preparation date: 03/04/11

1. Description and Identification Fill in the label information below:	(updated RV attachment)
THIS VESSEL CONFORMS TO FERMILAB ES&H MANUAL CHAPTER 5031	
Vessel Title <u>LAPD PHASE SEPARATOR</u>	
Vessel Number PPD10145	←Obtain from Division/Section Safety Officer
Vessel Drawing No. <u>8115-5128</u>	
Maximum Allowable Working Pressure (MAWP)	
Internal Pressure 60 psi at 100 F	
External Pressure	massiment solution
Working Temperature Range <u>-320</u> °F <u>+100</u> °F	
Contents <u>Nitrogen liquid and gas</u>	
Designer / Manufacturer Ability Engineering Technology, Inc.	Approximation of the control of the
Test Pressure (if tested at Fermilab) Acceptance Date	← Document per Chapter 5034 of the Fermilab ES&H Manual
PSIG, Hydraulic Pneumatic	
Accepted as conforming to standard by	
Multin	←Actual signature required
Of Division / Section PPD Date: 8/15/11	
NOTE: Any subsequent changes in contents, pressures temperatures, valving, etc., which affect the safety of this vessel shall require another review.	,
Reviewed by: Jay C The lacker (Print Name)	
Signature: Dat	e: 3/4/11
Director's signature (or designee) if the vessel is doesn't conform to the requirements of the chapter.	for manned areas but
Signature: Dat	
Amendment No.: Reviewed by:	Date:
Appendix and a second a second and a second	AND STREET WITH AND END FOR THE AND STREET STREET, AND STREET STREET, AND STRE

Lab Property Number(s): Lab Location Code: PC4 Purpose of Vessel(s): To separate nitrogen is coolant feed to LAPD condebackpressure regulator. Vessel Capacity/Size: Normal Operating Pressure (OP) 20 ps: MAWP-OP = (60-20) = 40 PSI	(obtain from safety officer) rogen into gas and liquid. Liquid enser. Nitrogen gas is vented by Diameter: 1'-3/8" Length: 4'-8"
List the numbers of all pertinent draw	wings and the location of the originals.
Drawing # Loc B115-5128 (Ability Engineering) DOC DOC	dation of Original DB LARTPC-DOC-600
and that other requirements of skip to part 3 "system venting" Does the vessel(s) have a U star complete section 2A; if "No", co	tions of that standard have been made that standard have been satisfied. verification." mp? Yes X No If "Yes", complete section 2B.
A. Staple photo of U stamp plots Copy "U" label details to the s	
NAT'L BD.	NAT'L BD. 173
(NATIONAL BOARD SERIAL NUMBER)	
DUPLICATE Certified by:	60 PSI at 100 F
	-320 F at 60 PSI
Ability Engineering Technology, Inc.	SERIAL 173
60 psi at 100 °F	YEAR BUILT 2010
max. allowable working pressure	W
RT=1 <u>=320</u> °Fat <u>60</u> psi	
Min. design metal temperature	
173	
Manufacturer's serial number	
2010 Year built	

Provide ASME design calculations in an appendix. On the sketch below, circle all applicable sections of the ASME code per Section VIII, Division I. (Only for non-coded vessels)



Does the venting Standards S-1.1 Yes No		ollow the Compr	essed Gas Assoc	iation			
justification a	to both of the nd statement regenting is adequated and settings:	garding what st					
Manufacturer	Model #	Set Pressure	Flow Rate	Size			
Rockwood Swendeman	RXSO	60 psig	105 SCFM AIR 1528 lb/hr N2	3/4x1 Seat A			
Is an operating procedure necessary for the safe operation of this vessel? YesNoX (If "Yes", it must be appended) Welding Information Has the vessel been fabricated in a non-code shop? YesNoX If "Yes", append a copy of the welding shop statement of welder qualification (Procedure Qualification Record, PQR) which references the Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) used to wel this vessel.							
Existing and Unmanned Area Vessels Is this vessel or any part thereof in the above categories?							
If "Yes", follo	Xw the requiremer manned Area Vess		nded Engineerin	g Note for			
Exceptional Vessels							
Is this vessel	or any part then X	ceof in the abo	ve category?				

System Venting Verification Provide the vent system schematic.

3.

FORM U-1A MANUFACTURER'S DATA REPORT FOR PRESSURE VESSELS

(Alternative Form for Single Chamber, Completely Shop or Field Fabricated Vessels Only)
As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

1. Manufactur	red and certified	by .	ABILITY	ENGINEE	RING TECHNO		140 Vincennes ss of manufacturer	Ave SOUTH HO	LLAND IL. 6	0473	
2. Manufactur	red for		FERMIL	AB PO BO	X 500 BATAN	VIA, IL 60473 U	JSA ss of purchaser)				
3. Location of	installation		FERMIL	AB PO BO	X 500 BATA	VIA, IL 60473 U	JSA				
4. Type: *	VERTICAL (Horiz. or vert., tan	k)	- (Mf	173 g's serial No.)		(CRN)		15-5128 Rev.0 (Drawing No.)		173 (Nat 1. Bd. No.)	2010 (Year built)
The chemic The design	cal and physical , , construction , a	properl and wor	ties of all pa kmanship o	arts meet the conform to A	e requirements of SME Rules, Se	of material specific ection VIII, Division	cations of the AS	EME BOILER AND F Edition 2007 Year	PRESSURE V	ESSEL CODE	
	denda. 2009 Idenda (Date)		_		ONE Case No.		Specia	NONE al Service per UG-120(d)		
6. Shell:	SA-312-T Matl. (Spec., N			.18		O Corr. Allow. (in.)		1'-3/8" Diam. I.D. (ft. & in.)			'-8" erall) (ft. & in.)
7. Seams:	NON	E		NONE	100	-	4	SGL. BUTT	20 P. #\D T (\$0	FULL	100 1 Eff (%) No. of Courses
	ng. (Welded, Dbl, Matl.	S	A-403-WP	304) Eπ (%)		Matl.	SA-40	3-WP304	ot, Partial Or Full)	Ell (%) No. of Courses
Local	tion (Top, N	(S tinimum	Spec. No., Gr	ade)	Crown	Knuckle	Elliptical		No., Grade) Hemispherical	Flat	Side to Pressure
Botto	om, Ends) Tr	nickness	Allo	owance 0	Radius	Radius	Ratio 2:1	Apex Angle	Radius	Diameter	(Convex or Concave) CONCAVE
		.0850'		0		-	2:1	-		-	CONCAVE
							2.1				CONONIC
If removable,	bolts used (desc	cribe ot	her fastenir	ngs)			(Matl Spec. No.,	Gr, Size, No.)			
9. MAWP	60				psi at ma	ax. temp.	100			-	°F_
	(internal)		(extern	al)			(internal))		(external)	
Min.design	metal temp,		-320	°F at	t6	50 psi	. Pr	neu test pressure:		66	psi.
10. Nozzles,	inspection, and	safety v	alve openir	ngs:				Charles a			
	urpose Outlet, Drain)	No.	Diam. or Size	Туре		Matl	Nom. Thk	Reinforcemen Matl		Attached	Location
	AISC	1	1"	PIPE		2-TP-304	.179" .179"	NONE		N-1(c) N-1(c)	TOP HEAD BOTTOM HEAD
	AISC AISC	2	1"	PIPE		2-TP-304 2-TP-304	.179	NONE		N-1(C)	SHELL
	MISC	2	3/3"	PIPE		2-TP-316	.154"	NONE		N-1(c)	SHELL
										11111	
11. Supports	Skirt NO	Lug	s 2 (No.)	Legs	(No.)	Other	(De	escribe)	Atta		LLWELDED nere and how)
12. Remarks		,	, ,	orts properly		signed by Commi	ssioned Inspecto	ors have been furnis	shed for follow	ing items of the	e report:
TANDAC	T TEST EXEMP	T DED	LILIA E1/a	4)	(Name of pa	art. Item number. Mfg	gr's name and ident	tifying stamp)			
_	RESSURE PRO				TISTOMER						
OVLIN	KESSOKE I KO	ILCII	ONTROV	IDED DI C	OSTOTIEN	12-3					
							7				
				: -		CATE OF SHOP			kmanahin of t	his vessel confe	arm to
						at all details of des	sign, material, co	nstruction, and wor	Kinariship or t	nis vessei conic	2010
	ME Code for Pre					4/10	/2011			1/	[][][]
"U" Ce	rtificate of Author			26956			/2011	-		Malt	WHOVE
Date 3	122/10	Co. r	name AB	ILITY EN		NG TECHNO:	LOGY INC		Signed	(Represent	ative)
						ICATE OF SHOP			S 41- 11-11	U 00470 UC	
	structed by							Vincennes Ave. S			4
		a valid	commissio	n issued by	trie National Bo			Inspectors and/or t	ile State of Pi	OVINCE OF	
	LINOIS	ont de	coribed in #	nie Manufea	turer's Data Bo	and employ	3-22-10		state that to	the best of my	knowledge and belief,
	ected the compor							ion 1. By signing thi			
								Manufacturer's Dat			
								kind arising from or			
Date	3-32-10		Signed	, ро				mmissions NB			
_					(Authorized	Inspector)				-	, Province and No.)



rev. 03-04-11

LAPD Phase Separator Relief Valve and Pipe Sizing

These MATHCAD calculations are for the LAPD condenser pressure relief valve.

The phase separator is an ASME stamped pressure vessel and is covered by ASME standards in Section VIII - Div 1. For reference, ASME standards are more stringent than CGA. CGA standards meet DOT specifications, but not ASME standards. Also CGA S-1.3 is not applicable because this vessel is a process vessel not a storage container.

Under ASME VIII-1, overpressure protection is in sections, UG-125 to UG-136.

ASME requires that potential overpressure scenarios are identified and a method of overpressure protection be used to mitigate. Other than for fire, the larger of 10% or 3 psi overpressure is allowed. If fire exposure is possible then 21% overpressure is allowed for the fire scenario. (UG-125)

The International ISO 23251/API 521 standard is used for evaluating the overpressure scenarios and establishing a basis for design. This standard is used in conjunction with API 520 for sizing. The fluid specific methods of API 520 are used instead of the air/steam capacity conversion in ASME Sect. VIII-Div 1.

For evaluating the fire case, credit is taken for the fire resistant insulation and accounted for in the environment factor. The RV inlet and outlet pipe are checked with the flow that will pass through the selected orifice.

Ref:

- ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, ASME Section VIII-DIV 1, 2007
- API Standard 520, Part I, 2008 and II, 2003
- ANSI/API Standard 521, 2007 with 2008 addendum
- Chemical Process Safety: Fundamentals with Applications, 2nd ed.
- Crane's Technical Paper 410

Scenario Check List (API 521)

1. Closed outlets

Closed outlets are possible but are not a source of overpressure or under pressure. All vessel connections come from or go to systems that have their own relief protection and are below the relief set pressure of this condenser. The available supply pressure is less than vessel design (MAWP) pressure.

- 2. Coolant failure Not applicable.
- 3. Top reflux failure Not applicable.
- 4. Side reflux failure Not applicable
- 5. Lean Oil failure to absorber Not applicable.

6. Accumulation of noncondensables

Not applicable. System designed for cyrogenic operation. Cryogen vaporizing is noted in item 10.

7. Entrance of highly volatile material - Not applicable. System designed for cyrogenic operation.

8. Overfilling

Overfilling is possible but is not a source of overpressure. The available supply pressure less than vessel design (MAWP) pressure.

9.Control Failure

- **a.** Supply valve could fail open, but is not a source of overpressure. The available supply pressure less than vessel design (MAWP) pressure.
- **b.** The back pressure regulator could fail closed. This is a source of overpressure.
- c. The vessel can handle full vacuum.

10. Abnormal heat or vapor input

- a. Abnormal heat input possible if insulation is damaged.
- **b.** Failure of the vapor barrier and icing of the insulation is possible.
- **c.** Abnormal vapor input is possible but self limiting, available supply pressure less than relief pressure.
- **11. Split exchanger tube** Not applicable.
- **12. Internal explosion** Not applicable, no flammables being used.
- **13. Chemical reaction** Not applicable, only cryogens in vessel.
- 14. Hydraulic expansion Not applicable.

15. Exterior fire

Possible that small quantity of flammables (box/papers) are near this vessel.

16. Power failure (steam, electric, air, other) - same as item 8.

Item **9b**, **10a**, **10b** and **15** above are identified as possible sources of overpressure.

Constants and Defined values used in subsequent calculations

Gravitational Constant:
$$g_c = 32.2 \cdot \frac{\text{ft} \cdot \text{lbm}}{\text{lbf} \cdot \text{s}^2}$$

$$g_{c} = 1.0 \cdot \frac{\frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{s}^{2}}}{\text{N}}$$

$$\textbf{Gas Constant:} \qquad R_g:=8.314472 \cdot \frac{joule}{mole \cdot K}$$

Atmospheric pressure:
$$atm = 14.70 \cdot psi$$
 $atm = 14.70 \cdot \frac{lbf}{in^2}$

Physical Properties of vapor @ Relieving Conditions (REFPROP V8)

Molecular Weight Saturation temperature at relieving pressure

 M_W : =28.01· $\frac{kg}{kgmole}$ T_{in} : =95.378·K

Gas Gas Heat Capacity Ratio @ relieving Temperature Compressibility

Z := 0.8579 $\gamma := 1.40$

Heat of Vaporization Prandtl Number Gas Heat Capacity, Cp Gas Density

 $Hv:=170.66\cdot\frac{kJ}{kg} \qquad \qquad Pr:=0.9781 \qquad \qquad Cp:=1.3717\cdot\frac{kJ}{kg\cdot K} \qquad \qquad \rho:=22.9\cdot\frac{kg}{m^3}$

Viscosity of vapor Gas Thermal Conductivity

 μ : =0.00697cpoise therm_{cond}: =9.776· $\frac{\text{mW}}{\text{m} \cdot \text{K}}$

Evaluation of Overpressure Scenario 9b - Back Pressure Regulator Fails Closed

Vessel Height Vessel ID:

Estimated Elliptical Head Wetted Area:

$$H:=60\cdot in$$

ref: Applied Process Design for Chemical and Petrochemical Plants, 4 ed.

EllipHead_{area} : =1.15
$$\cdot \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{D}{2} \right)^2 \right] = 0.9 \cdot \text{ft}^2$$

Total Vessel Surface Area:

EllipHead_{area} =
$$0.08 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

Area : =EllipHead_{area} +
$$H \cdot 2\pi \frac{D}{2}$$
 = 16.6·ft²

Area =
$$1.54 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

Trymer k-factor Insul. Thickness

Heat of Vaporization

$$k_{trymer} := 0.027 \cdot \frac{W}{m \cdot K}$$
 Insul_{Th} : = 4 · in

$$Insul_{Th} : = 4 \cdot in$$

$$Hv = 170.7 \cdot \frac{kJ}{kg}$$

@ relieving conditions

Heat : =
$$\frac{\left(k_{trymer} \cdot Area\right)}{Insul_{Th}} \cdot \left(295 \cdot K - 78 \cdot K\right)$$

Heat =
$$303.7 \cdot \frac{BTU}{hr}$$

Required Relief Rate for scenario 9

$$Wm_{R.9b} : = \frac{Heat}{Hv} = 1.877 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$Wm_{R.9b} = 4.139 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr}$$

Evaluation of Overpressure Scenario 10a - Abnormal Heat Input Damaged Insul.

Damage or loss of a portion of insulation is plausible. This is a small vessel and the side insulation will be pre-formed piping insulation. It is assumed that 2 insulation sections fall off, which could expose the sides of the vessel. The insulation on the heads are separate from the side pieces and assumed to remain in place.

Vessel Height Vessel ID:

Heat of Vaporization

$$H = 60 \cdot in$$
 $D = 12 \cdot in$

$$Hv = 170.7 \cdot \frac{kJ}{kg}$$
 @ relieving conditions

Total Vessel Surface Area:

Area_{sides}: =
$$H \cdot 2\pi \frac{D}{2} = 15.7 \cdot ft^2$$

Area_{sides} =
$$1.46 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

Heat Transfer Coefficient (natural air convection)

$$k_{air.conv}$$
 : =15 $\cdot \frac{W}{m^2 \cdot K}$

 $k_{air.conv}$: =15. $\frac{W}{m^2 \cdot K}$ Assumed average heat transfer coefficient in air.

$$Q_{air.conv} := k_{air.conv} \cdot Area_{sides} \cdot (300 \text{K} - 89.1 \cdot \text{K}) = 1.6 \times 10^4 \cdot \frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{hr}}$$

Required Relief Rate for scenario 10a

$$Wm_{R.10a} := \frac{Q_{air.conv}}{Hv} = 97.4 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$
 $Wm_{R.10a} = 214.7 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr}$

$$Wm_{R.10a} = 214.7 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr}$$

Evaluation of Overpressure Scenario 10b - Abnormal Heat Input Failed Vapor Barrier

A failure of the vapor barrier is plausible. This calculations checks the extreme case of all the insulation becoming impregnated with ice.

Insulation Thickness Insul_{Th}: =4·in

Vessel Height Vessel ID: Estimated Elliptical Head Wetted Area:

$$H = 60 \cdot in$$
 $D = 12 \cdot in$ ref: Applied Process Design for Chemical and Petrochemical Plants, 4 ed.

EllipHead_{area} : =1.15
$$\cdot \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{D}{2} \right)^2 \right] = 0.9 \cdot \text{ft}^2$$

Total Vessel Surface Area: EllipHead_{area} = 0.08 m²

Area : =EllipHead_{area} + H·2
$$\pi \frac{D}{2}$$
 = 16.6·ft²

(bottom head and sides)

Heat of Vaporization

Area =
$$1.54 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$
 Hv = $170.7 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{kg}}$

Ice Thermal Conductivity per Cryogenic Heat Transfer, By Barrons

$$k_{ice}$$
: =1.88· $\frac{W}{m_r K}$ In US units this is 1.09 Btu/hr-ft-F

$$ice_{thick}$$
: =Insul_{Th} = $4 \cdot in$

Assuming, full insulation thickness becomes ice.

$$Q_{ice}:=\frac{k_{ice} \cdot Area \cdot \left[\left(0.0 + 273.15\right)\! K - 89.1 \cdot K\right]}{ice_{thick}} = 1.8 \times 10^4 \cdot \frac{BTU}{hr}$$

Required Relief Rate for scenario 10b

$$Wm_{R.10b} := \frac{Q_{ice}}{Hv} = 110.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$
 $Wm_{R.10b} = 244.4 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr}$

Evaluation of Overpressure Scenario 15 - Exterior Fire

Calculate relief rate based on a blocked in fire scenario

Per API 521 sec. 5.15.1.1

To determine vapour generation, it is necessary to recognize only the portion of the vessel that is wetted by its internal liquid and is equal to or less than 25 ft above the flame.

Relief valve Set Pressure vessel Design P (MAWP)

P_{set}: =60·psi gauge

Height of high liquid level from bottom tangent:

 H_L : =60·in - 8·in

Vessel ID:

 $D = 12 \cdot in$

Estimated Elliptical Head Wetted Area:

ref: Applied Process Design for Chemical and Petrochemical Plants, 4 ed.

EllipHead_{area} : =1.15
$$\cdot \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{D}{2} \right)^2 \right] = 0.9 \cdot \text{ft}^2$$

EllipHead_{area} =
$$0.08 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

Total Vessel Wetted Surface Area:

Av : =EllipHead_{area} +
$$H_L \cdot 2\pi \frac{D}{2} = 14.5 \cdot ft^2$$

(bottom head and sides up to a liquid level)

$$Av = 1.35 \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

Determination of Insulation Credit (per API 521 5.15.5.4)

This vessel will have Trymer insulation similar to the type on the LAPD tank, that was flame test.

Engineering Judgment:

This insulation was flame tested and can withstand exposure to a propane/air flame (>1700 F) and maintain integrity. Flame test was performed by Jim Priest, Sr. Fire Strategist & Researcher, Fermilab, LArTPC-doc-514.

PC4 will have a fire alarm that will call the Fermilab fire department. Response time would be on the order of minutes.

Fermilab fire department is trained in dealing with cryogen containing vessels. As part of the LAPD project they will receive a walk through of the LAPD tank and associated equipment.

Liquid flammables are not and will not be stored in PC4. It is plausible that there could be a flammable box or papers near this vessel.

Given the above, an insulation credit can be taken in the fire heat input calculation as specified in API 521.

The API 521 fire input rate will be used. This is conservative since there are no flammable fuels in PC4 to make a pool fire.

Insulation Thermal Conductivity (ambient conditions)

Insulation Thickness

 $k_{ins.ambient}:=0.027 \cdot \frac{W}{m \cdot K}$

 $Insul_{Th} = 4 \cdot in$

API Calculation for F, with units added to factor for unit consistency

$$F := \frac{k_{ins.ambient} \cdot \left[(904 + 273.15) K - T_{in} \right]}{66570 \cdot \frac{kg}{s^3} \cdot Insul_{Th}} = 0.0043$$

API 521 eq. 13 sect. 5.15.5.4 The implied units of the API conversion factor are kg/sec^3.

CHECK: Same calculation forcing the units choice to use the API formula in unitless fashion. The result is the same.

$$\frac{k_{ins.ambient} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{W}{m \cdot K}} \cdot \left[(904 + 273.15)K - T_{in} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{degC}}{66570 \cdot Insul_{Th} \cdot \frac{1}{m}} = 0.0043$$

Required Relief Rate for scenario 15 - Exterior Fire

$$Qv : = 21000 \cdot \left(\frac{BTU}{hr}\right) \cdot F \cdot \left(\frac{Av}{ft^2}\right)^{0.82}$$

$$Qv = 813 \cdot \frac{BTU}{hr}$$

API 521 eq. 6 sect. 5.15.2.2.1

$$Wm_{R.fire} : = \frac{Qv}{Hv}$$

$$Wm_{R.fire} = 5.03 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$Wm_{R.fire} = 11.1 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr}$$

Comparing Scenario Relief Rates

$$Wm_{R.9b} = 1.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$Wm_{R.10a} = 97.4 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$Wm_{R.10b} = 110.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$Wm_{R.fire} = 5 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

By inspection, scenario 10b, failed vapor barrier is the largest overpressure scenario and therefore will be used as the sizing basis.

$$Wm_R : = Wm_{R.10b} = 110.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

Relief valve Set Pressure vessel Design P (MAWP) Relieving pressure: overpressure of 10% or 3 psi, whichever is larger

$$P_R : =P_{set} \cdot 1.10 + atm$$

$$P_R = 80.7 \cdot psi$$
 abs

Actual Relief Rate - Based on a selected relief valve orifice size

For the selected, relieve valve, the actual orifice size is checked with the certified Kd value (ASME) for that relief valve.

Selected Orifice Size As : =
$$0.118 \cdot in^2$$

Combination Factor
$$K_c$$
: =1.0 API 520.P1 eq. 5 sect. 5.6.3.1.1, V_c

$$K_c := 1.0 \\ K_c := 1.0 \\ \text{Sect. 5.6.3.1.1, with expanded "C"} \\ \text{factor and unit consistency and conversion handled by Mathcad.} \\ \text{The gas constant are explicitly shown.} \\ Wm_A := Kd \cdot Kb \cdot K_c \cdot P_R \cdot As \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot g_c \cdot M_w}{T_{in} \cdot R_g \cdot Z} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\gamma + 1}\right)^{\gamma - 1}} \\ Wm_A = 894 \cdot \frac{lb}{hr} \\ Wm_A = 405 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr} \\ \text{Wm}_A = 405 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

$$V_{\text{mA}} := \text{Kd} \cdot \text{Kb} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{c}} \cdot \text{P}_{\text{R}} \cdot \text{As} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot g \cdot v_{\text{W}}}{T_{\text{in}} \cdot R_{\text{g}} \cdot Z}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\gamma + 1}\right)$$

$$W_{\text{mA}} = 894 \cdot \frac{\text{lb}}{2} \qquad W_{\text{mA}} = 405 \cdot \frac{\text{kg}}{2}$$

The relief valve available capacity is greater than required capacity. The required capacity is listed here for reference.

$$Wm_R = 110.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

The reported ASME certified capacities for relief valve made after 1962 are 90% of expected flow per ASME derate requirement. The true expected flow should be used for checking inlet outlet piping.

$$Wm_{A.full} := \frac{Wm_A}{90.\%} = 450.4 \cdot \frac{kg}{hr}$$

Check of Relief Valve Inlet Pipe Pressure Drop (incompressible flow)

Equivalent length used is conservative representation of short straight pipe and minor fitting losses.

Pipe Inside Diameter: Pipe Roughness: **Equivalent Length:**

 $L : =4.00 \cdot ft$ D_{in} : =0.824·in $\varepsilon : = 0.0005 \cdot \text{ft}$

This eqv. length includes $D_i := D_{in}$ **Friction Factor Guess:** 2.6 ft for pipe entrance f := 0.002

effect.

Pipe Inlet Pressure: Density of relieving Gas: Viscosity of relieving Gas:

$$P_{in} := P_R = 80.7 \cdot psi \ abs$$
 $\rho_{gas} := \rho = 22.9 \cdot \frac{kg}{m^3}$ $\mu = 0.007 \cdot cP$

(gas @ relief pressure)

Given

Darcy's Friction Factor:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} = -2.0 \cdot log \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3.7 \cdot D_i} + \frac{2.51}{4 \cdot \frac{Wm_{A.full}}{D_i \cdot \pi \cdot \mu} \cdot \sqrt{f}} \right)$$
 Cranes used Darcy's friction factor.

 f_{pipe} : =Find(f) = 0.0342

$$\Delta P_{inlet} := \rho_{gas} \cdot f_{pipe} \cdot \frac{L}{D_i} \cdot \frac{vel_{inlet}}{2} = 0.834 \cdot psi$$
 Cranes eqn. 1.4. (times g to get lbf so g's cancel)

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\text{inlet}}}{P_{\text{in}}}$$
 = 1.0·% The calculated pressure drop is less than 10% of inlet pressure and therefore use of the inlet density provides reasonable accuracy per Crane's Flow of Fluids, Technical Paper No 410.

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\text{inlet}}}{P_{\text{sot}}}$$
 = 1.4·% API 520 Part II 4.2.2 recommends that the total inlet piping pressure drop not exceed 3% of the set pressure.

Check of Relief Valve Outlet Pipe Pressure Drop (incompressible flow)

The real pipe length will be less than 30 feet. The straight pipe, fittings, elbows, pipe entrance and pipe exit losses are captured by an equivalent length of 200 ft.

The pipe outlet is assumed room temperature and the gas properties at the outlet are used for pressure drop calcs.

 T_{out} : =300·K

Equivalent Length: Pipe Inside Diameter: Pipe Roughness:

L: =200·ft D_i : =2.907·in ε = 0.0005·ft

Includes 170 ft for elbows, Friction Factor Guess: inlet and outlet losses.

f: =0.002

Density of relieving Gas @ 300 K & atm Viscosity of relieving Gas@ 300 K & atm

 $\rho_{\text{gas.warm}} : = 1.1382 \cdot \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$ $\mu_{\text{warm}} : = 0.017890 \cdot \text{cP}$

Given Darcy's Friction Factor:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} = -2.0 \cdot log \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3.7 \cdot D_i} + \frac{2.51}{4 \cdot \frac{Wm_{A.full}}{D_i \cdot \pi \cdot \mu_{warm}} \cdot \sqrt{f}} \right)$$
 Cranes used Darcy's friction factor.

 $f_{pipe} : = Find(f) = 0.025$

$$vel_{outlet} := \frac{\frac{vvm_{A.full}}{\rho_{gas.warm}}}{\pi \cdot \left(\frac{D_{i}}{2}\right)^{2}} vel_{outlet} = 25.7 \cdot \frac{m}{s} vel_{outlet} = 84.2 \cdot \frac{ft}{s}$$

$$\Delta P_{outlet} := \rho_{gas.warm} \cdot f_{pipe} \cdot \frac{L}{D_i} \cdot \frac{vel_{outlet}^2}{2} = 1.12 \cdot psi \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Cranes eqn. 1.4.} \\ \text{(times g to get lbf so g's cancel)} \end{array}$$

$$P_{in}$$
: =atm + ΔP_{outlet} = 15.8·psi abs

$$\frac{\Delta P_{outlet}}{P_{in}} = 7.1 \cdot \%$$
 Pressure drop is greater than 10% of the inlet pressure. The lower outlet density is used knowing it results in an overestimate of the pressure drop per Crane's Flow of Fluids, Technical Paper No 410.

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\text{outlet}}}{P_{\text{set}}}$$
 = 1.9.% It is recommended that the outlet piping pressure drop not exceed 10% of set pressure, API 520.P1 sect. 5.3.3.1.3.

REFERENCE MATERIAL

Pipe Roughness for Reference

Table 4-1 Roughness Factor ε for Clean Pipes ¹

Pipe material	ϵ (mm)			
Riveted steel	1–10			
Concrete	0.3 - 3			
Cast iron	0.26			
Galvanized iron	0.15			
Commercial steel	0.046			
Wrought iron	0.046			
Drawn tubing	0.0015			
Glass	0			
Plastic	0			

 $0.046 \cdot mm = 0.000151 \cdot ft$

A more conservative value of 0.0005 ft pipe roughness is used in the relief piping evaluations. That translates to a roughness somewhere between galvanized iron and cast iron.

 $0.0005 \cdot \text{ft} = 0.2 \cdot \text{mm}$

¹Selected from Octave Levenspiel, *Engineering Flow and Heat Exchange* (New York: Plenum Press, 1984), p. 22.

Use of Inlet Conditions for Ratio of Specific Heats, k

The eight edition of API 520 contradicts itself in the published standard over the use of the ratio of specific heats at standard conditions or inlet relieving conditions.

API 520 takes the ideal gas equation for choked flow and separates out the estimated critical flow pressure ratio into a separate factor to which they attach lumped unit conversion factors, API refers to as C.

In the 6th edition of 520, C was defined as "coefficient determined from an expression of the ratio of specific heats of the gas or vapor at standard conditions.

Starting with the 7th edition of 520, C was defined as "a function of the ratio of the ideal gas specific heats (k=Cp/Cv) of the gas or vapor at inlet relieving temperature". Other references to C were left unchanged, including indication that only C at standard conditions could be used.

API responded to an request for interpretation on this apparent contradiction. API's reply, was "Yes. Section 3.6.2 (7th ed) recommends that the ratio of specific heats, k, in the sizing equations should be determined at the inlet relieving conditions. This is a departure from previous editions, which said that k should be based on standard conditions (i.e. 60 F and atmospheric pressure)". Between the 7th and 8th, the sizing formula and definition of C moved from section 3.6.2 to section 5.6.3.1.1.

The "new" assumption of at relieving conditions was evaluated by A. Shackelford and reported in "Using the Ideal Gas Specific Heat Ratio for Relief Valve Sizing", Chem. Eng. 110, No. 12, 54-59, Nov. 2003. His work indicated that the heat capacity ratio could be used as an estimate of the isentropic expansion coefficient to provide a good estimate of the mass flux through a nozzle.

Relief conditions near the critical point or at very high pressure are poorly represented by these assumptions and special evaluation is required. API 520, appendix B provides guidance.

API 520 PSEUDO C FACTOR

API 520 takes part of the choked orifice formula and builds it into a pseudo C factor. API further simplifies the C factor formula by hiding the gas constant in it as well as unit conversions. Unfortunately API saves pages by not showing the derivation of this pseudo C factor. These shortcuts can be convenient but they increase the chance that errors gets missed.

The C factor formula SI units has a fixed multiplier of 0.03948. This multiplier represents the following unit conversions and constant in order to build the meaningless units of the C factor.

- hours to seconds
- kPa to Pa
- gas constant
- gravitational constant
- kPa to Pa (inside SQRT)
- m^2 to mm^2

Here is the math for SI multiplier:

$$3600 \cdot 1000 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{8.314 \cdot 1000}} \cdot \frac{1}{1000000} = 0.03948$$

Caution on using Hidden Conversion Factors

All in one, built in conversion factors provide convenience at a price. That price is that the results or conclusion are wrong if the hidden conversion factors are wrong. This can be fatal for safety related calculations such as relief valves.

Note: API 521, 5th edition, January 2007. was published with the wrong factors for the SI version of the fire relief calculations for liquid air coolers.